

A New Result on the Dynamical Symmetry of Spherical Collapse

Subenoy Chakraborty^{1*}, Asit Banerjee^{2†} and Ujjal Debnath^{3‡}

¹*Department of Mathematics,
Jadavpur University, Calcutta-32, India.*

²*Department of Physics,
Jadavpur University, Calcutta-32, India.*

³*Department of Mathematics,
Bengal Engineering and Science University,
Shibpur, Howrah-711 103, India.*

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

A dynamical symmetry for spherical collapse has been studied using a linear transformation of the initial data set (mass and kinetic energy function) and the area radius. With proper choice of the initial area radius, the evolution as well as the physical parameters namely energy density and shear remain invariant both initially and at any time instant. Finally, it is found that the final outcome of collapse depends on the initial choice of the area radius.

PACS numbers: 04.20 Dw, 04.20 Ex

Debnath, Chakraborty and Dadhich in a recent paper [1] while demonstrating the true invariance of the collapse dynamics, chose to scale the comoving radial coordinate also along with other initial data sets. This has, in fact, destroyed the invariance of energy density and shear as well as the collapse dynamics itself. It can be shown clearly by the following arguments. In their case the linear transformations are chosen in the form

$$F \rightarrow a^{n-1}F, \quad f \rightarrow a^2f, \quad R \rightarrow aR \quad \text{and} \quad r \rightarrow ar,$$

where a is a constant, n is the total number of dimensions and r is the comoving radial coordinate. F , f , R have the usual meanings [2,3]. The new radial coordinate in reality changes the magnitudes of the functions F , f and R . So when the above scalings are performed the physical quantities such as ρ , σ etc although retain their structural forms unchanged in terms of F , f , r etc they do suffer changes in their magnitudes and can no longer be claimed invariant. The scaling of the coordinate r was, however, demanded by their initial choice $R(t_i, r) = r$. One can avoid such unwanted scaling of r if one chooses $R(t_i, r)$ as another suitable function of r . In the following text we have done exactly the same. So our choice gives the true invariance of ρ , σ and the dynamical equations for a class of data sets which lead to the same end results as well as the evolution of the system chosen. We should point out here that though our model is a spherical Tolman-Bondi-Lemaître (TBL) model yet similar results may also be valid in the quasi-spherical collapse as well.

The inhomogeneous spherically symmetric dust space-time of n dimension can be represented by the following metric [4]

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - \frac{R'^2}{1+f(r)}dr^2 - R^2d\Omega_{n-2}^2 \quad (1)$$

Here we have used comoving coordinates. In the above metric g_{00} (the co-efficients of dt^2)=1, because the dust particle follows geodesics. The function $f(r)$ is related with the curvature of the $(n-1)$ dimensional space part and fixes it as bound, unbound and marginally bound for $f(r) < 0$, $f(r) > 0$ and $f(r) = 0$ respectively. The function $R(t, r)$ stands for the area radius of the corresponding shell. The evolution equation is given by

$$\dot{R}^2 = f(r) + \frac{F(r)}{R^{n-3}} \quad (2)$$

where $F(r)$ can be interpreted as the mass contained within the comoving radius ' r ' and is reflected in the

* subenoyc@yahoo.co.in

† asitb@cal3.vsnl.net.in

‡ ujjaldebnath@yahoo.com

expression for energy density $\rho(t, r)$:

$$\rho(t, r) = \frac{(n-2)}{2} \frac{F'}{R^{n-2}R'} \quad (3)$$

The shear scalar $\sigma(t, r)$ is given by [3,4]

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}} \frac{(R\dot{R}' - \dot{R}R')}{RR'} \quad (4)$$

The above collapsing models are characterized by the initial data given on the initial hypersurface $t = t_i$. It is to be noted that one can make an arbitrary relabeling of spherical dust shells by $r \rightarrow \psi(r)$, without any loss of generality. We make here the suitable choice of the radial coordinate such that at $t = t_i$,

$$R(t_i, r) = \frac{rF^a}{f^b} \quad (5)$$

where, a and b are constants.

We now proceed to find out a class of initial data functions which under linear transformation yield exactly the same density, shear and evolution equation not only at the initial instant but also at any arbitrary instant. These are [1,5]

$$\{F, f\} \rightarrow \{mF, lf\} \quad (6)$$

In order that evolution equation (2) remains invariant under the above transformations we must require a relation between m and l in the form

$$m = l^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \quad (7)$$

and a scaling of the area radius as

$$R \rightarrow l^{1/2}R \quad (8)$$

So finally we find that the transformations (6) and (8) in view of (7) retain ρ and σ invariant. The interesting point is that the above transformations do not alter the scaling used for the radial coordinate given in (5) provided the constants a and b are related as follows

$$a(n-1) - 2b = 1 \quad (9)$$

It is now expected that the collapse of the dust sphere would start from a regular initial hypersurface $t = t_i$ and hence ρ_i and σ_i should be regular on the initial hypersurface and in particular at $r = 0$.

The initial density and shear can now be given using (5). These are

$$\rho_i = \rho(t_i, r) = \frac{(n-2)}{2} \frac{rF'}{F} \left[r^{n-1} \frac{F^{(n-1)a-1}}{f^{(n-1)b}} \left\{ 1 + ar \frac{F'}{F} - br \frac{f'}{f} \right\} \right]^{-1} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\sigma_i = \sigma(t_i, r) = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)}{8(n-1)}} \left[\left\{ \frac{rF'}{F} - (n-1) \left(1 + ar \frac{F'}{F} - br \frac{f'}{f} \right) \right\} + r^{n-3} \frac{F^{(n-3)a-1}}{f^{(n-3)b-1}} \left\{ \frac{rf'}{f} - 2 \left(1 + ar \frac{F'}{F} - br \frac{f'}{f} \right) \right\} \right]}{r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{F^{\frac{(n-1)a-1}{2}}}{f^{\frac{(n-1)b}{2}}} \left(1 + ar \frac{F'}{F} - br \frac{f'}{f} \right) \left(1 + r^{n-3} \frac{F^{(n-3)a-1}}{f^{(n-3)b-1}} \right)^{1/2}} \quad (11)$$

Now if we choose a power series function for $F(r)$ and $f(r)$ around $r = 0$, we may conclude that both $\frac{rF'}{F}$ and $\frac{rf'}{f}$ are finite at the centre and hence in order that $\rho(t_i, r)$ is regular at $r \rightarrow 0$, we must have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{n-1} \frac{F^{(n-1)a-1}}{f^{(n-1)b}} = \text{a non-zero finite number.}$$

The regularity of the initial shear at $r \rightarrow 0$ further demands in view of (11) that the quantity

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{n-3} \frac{F^{(n-3)a-1}}{f^{(n-3)b-1}} \quad \text{to be zero or a finite constant.}$$

Since our study is restricted to the region close to $r = 0$ for smooth initial data we choose the power series functions for $F(r)$ and $f(r)$ in this region, so that we can write [4]

$$F(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j r^{\alpha+j} \quad (12)$$

$$f(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j r^{\beta+j} \quad (13)$$

Using the expressions (12) and (13) close to the centre $r = 0$, the condition worked out previously for the regularity of the initial density demands α and β to satisfy the following relation

$$a\alpha - b\beta + 1 = \frac{\alpha}{n-1} \quad (14)$$

We now proceed to examine the expression for shear given in (11). In view of (12) and (13) and also (14) the quantity $\frac{rF'}{F} - (n-1) \left(1 + ar \frac{F'}{F} - br \frac{f'}{f} \right)$ reduces to zero. So in order that $\sigma(t_i, r)$ vanishes at the centre ($r = 0$), $r^{n-3} \frac{F^{(n-3)a-1}}{f^{(n-3)b-1}}$ must vanish at $r \rightarrow 0$.

The above in turn leads to the condition

$$(n-3)(1 + a\alpha - b\beta) > \alpha - \beta,$$

which when combined with the condition (14) imposes the following restriction on the magnitudes of α and β namely,

$$\frac{\alpha}{n-1} > \frac{\alpha - \beta}{n-3} \quad (15)$$

provided we assume $\alpha > \beta$ and $n > 3$.

So finally, we get the restriction in the form

$$\alpha > \beta > \frac{2\alpha}{n-1} \quad (16)$$

We now proceed to write the expression for the initial energy density near $r = 0$ using the power series expansion forms (12) and (13). It is given by

$$\rho_i = \rho(t_i, r) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{f_0^{b(n-1)}}{F_0^{2b}} \left[1 + \frac{b(\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left\{ (n-1) \frac{f_1}{f_0} - \frac{2F_1}{F_0} \right\} r + \rho_2 r^2 + O(r^3) \right] \quad (17)$$

where ρ_2 is actually a constant, the exact expression of which is given later.

The equation (17) shows that for a smooth physically acceptable behaviour of the density function at $r = 0$ one can demand $\left(\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial r} \right)_{r=0} = 0$ and hence it follows that the constant

$$(n-1) \frac{f_1}{f_0} - \frac{2F_1}{F_0} = 0 \quad (18)$$

The constant ρ_2 in (17) has the simplified expression using (18) in the form

$$\rho_2 = b \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \right) \left\{ (n-1) \frac{f_2}{f_0} - \frac{2F_2}{F_0} \right\} + 2b \frac{F_1^2}{F_0^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\{2 - 2\alpha + b(n-1)\alpha\}}{(n-1)\alpha} \right] \quad (19)$$

Again if one assumes that ρ_i is maximum at $r = 0$ and decreases with increasing r one must have $\rho'_i < 0$ in the region close to $r = 0$, which in turn demand $\rho_2 < 0$ near the centre.

Now the solution of the evolution eq(2) can be written as [4]

$$t - t_i = \frac{2}{(n-1)\sqrt{F}} \left[r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{F^{\frac{a(n-1)}{2}}}{f^{\frac{b(n-1)}{2}}} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, d, d+1, -r^{n-3} \frac{F^{a(n-3)-1}}{f^{b(n-3)-1}}\right] - R^{\frac{n-1}{2}} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, d, d+1, -\frac{fR^{n-3}}{F}\right] \right] \quad (20)$$

where $d = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n-3}$ and ${}_2F_1$ is the usual hypergeometric function.

If $t = t_s(r)$ stands for the time of collapse of a shell of radius R giving rise to a shell focusing singularity at r ,

$$t_s(r) - t_i = \frac{2}{n-1} r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{F^{\frac{a(n-1)}{2}-1}}{f^{\frac{b(n-1)}{2}}} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, d, d+1, -r^{n-3} \frac{F^{a(n-3)-1}}{f^{b(n-3)-1}}\right] \quad (21)$$

So the time of central singularity is given by

$$t_0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} t_s(r) = t_i + \frac{2F_0^b}{(n-1)f_0^{\frac{b(n-1)}{2}}} \quad (22)$$

Here the power series expansions (12) and (13) have been used.

If $t = t_{ah}(r)$ stands for the time of formation of apparent horizon at coordinate distance ' r ' then area radius is restricted by [3,4]

$$R(t_{ah}(r), r) = [F(r)]^{\frac{1}{n-3}} \quad (23)$$

and we have from (20)

$$t_{ah}(r) - t_i = \frac{2}{n-1} r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{F^{\frac{a(n-1)}{2}-1}}{f^{\frac{b(n-1)}{2}}} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, d, d+1, -r^{n-3} \frac{F^{a(n-3)-1}}{f^{b(n-3)-1}}\right] - \frac{2F^{\frac{1}{n-3}}}{n-1} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, d, d+1, -f\right] \quad (24)$$

Therefore, using the series expansions (12) and (13) the time difference between central shell focusing singularity and the apparent horizon at coordinate distance r , (close to $r = 0$) is given by

$$t_{ah}(r) - t_0 = -\frac{d}{(n-1)(d+1)} \frac{F_0^{\frac{(3n-7)a-3}{2}}}{f_0^{\frac{(3n-7)b-2}{2}}} r^{\frac{1}{b}} - \frac{2F_0^{\frac{1}{n-3}}}{n-1} r^{\frac{n-1}{n-3}} + \frac{2bF_0^b}{(n-1)f_0^{\frac{b(n-1)}{2}}} \left[\frac{F_2}{F_0} + (b-1) \frac{F_1^2}{F_0^2} - \frac{(n-1)f_2}{2f_0} + \frac{(n-1)}{8} \{b(n-1) + 2\} \frac{f_1^2}{f_0^2} \right] r^2 + O(r^3) \quad (25)$$

A little analysis of the equation (25) shows that when $b > 1/2$ we have $1/b < 2$, so that there is only a black hole and no naked singularity. The magnitude of $\frac{n-1}{n-3}$ is 3 at $n = 4$ and decreases with the increasing number of dimensions. For $b < 1/2$ and $n < 5$ there may occur either a black hole or a naked singularity depending on the sign of the coefficient of r^2 in equation (25). Again for the number of dimensions greater than five, i.e., for six dimensions or more, $\frac{n-1}{n-3} < 2$, so that we get only a black hole. This conclusion is exactly identical with that obtained in our previous paper [2].

Marginally bound case ($f = 0$):

Here our initial choice is $R = (r + r_0)F^a$, r_0 and a being positive constants. The density and shear are given by

$$\rho(t, r) = \frac{(n-2)F'(r)}{2R^{n-2}R'}, \quad \sigma(t, r) = \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)}{8(n-1)}} \left(\frac{\dot{R}'}{R'} - \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \quad (26)$$

and the evolution equation simplifies to

$$\dot{R}^2 = \frac{F(r)}{R^{n-3}} \quad (27)$$

Suppose we perform linear transformations on F and the area radius R in the following manner:

$$F \rightarrow p F, \quad R \rightarrow q R \quad (28)$$

where p, q are constants. The invariance of ρ, σ and the evolution equation (both initially and at any time instant) under the above transformations yield

$$a = \frac{1}{n-1} \text{ and } p = q^{n-1} \quad (29)$$

On the initial hypersurface, the energy density and the shear scalar are given by

$$\rho_i = \frac{(n-2)}{2} \frac{\frac{rF'}{F}}{(r+r_0)^{n-1} \left(\frac{r}{r+r_0} + \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{rF'}{F} \right)} \quad (30)$$

and

$$\sigma_i^2 = \frac{(n-2)}{8} \frac{(n-1)^3 r^2}{(r+r_0)^{n+1} \left[\frac{(n-1)r}{r+r_0} + \frac{rF'}{r} \right]^2} \quad (31)$$

Here it is clear from the above that $r_0 \neq 0$, because otherwise there will be a permanent singularity of infinite energy density and shear at the centre. In this case the solution of the evolution equation has the simple form

$$t - t_i = \frac{2}{(n-1)} \left[(r+r_0)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} - \frac{R^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{F(r)}} \right] \quad (32)$$

So proceeding as before

$$t_{ah}(r) - t_0 = r_0^{\frac{n-3}{2}} r - \frac{2}{n-1} F_0^{\frac{1}{n-3}} r^{\frac{n-1}{n-3}}, \quad (33)$$

which shows that $t_{ah}(r) > t_0$ for small r (i.e., close to singularity). Hence we always have naked singularity in any dimension. Finally, it is to be noted that the parameter r_0 plays a crucial role in characterizing the end state of collapse.

In conclusion we must mention that a significant point in our paper is that we have avoided choosing $R(t_i, r) = r$ as is done in all the previous papers without perhaps any exception. Since $R(t_i, r)$ can be chosen any arbitrary function of r without any loss of generality we have preferred to select a different function of r for $R(t_i, r)$, which allows us to perform a different set of scalings and consequently draw different conclusions in some situations. For example, the sign of the quantity $(t_{ah}(r) - t_0)$ in our present work depends upon an additional parameter b , the suitable choice of which may yield in certain cases different conclusions regarding the occurrence of the naked singularity or black hole. However, the result we obtained in one of our papers that there is no naked singularity in the space-time having more than five dimensions, still holds showing the possibility of this result being valid in general.

It is to be noted that in our paper even if the quantity $(t_{ah}(r) - t_0)$ is not invariant, its sign, however, will remain unchanged under the scaling chosen in our paper, as one can easily verify from the equation (25). So the conclusion about the end result of the collapse (naked singularity or black hole) still remains valid even after the scaling the parameters. Since it depends on the sign and not the magnitude of the quantity $(t_{ah}(r) - t_0)$.

It is further to be stresses that for the parameter b under certain restriction ($b > 1/2$) the end state of the collapse always leads to black hole irrespective of any number of dimensions of our space-time. So finally we may conclude that with a suitable choice of the initial area radius R as a function of the comoving coordinate

r we can ensure the validity of the so-called Cosmic Censorship Conjecture.

Acknowledgement:

One of the authors (SC) is thankful to CSIR, Govt. of India for providing a research project No. 25(0141)/05/EMR-II.

References:

- [1] U. Debnath, S. Chakraborty and N. Dadhich, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* (accepted) 2005; *gr-qc/0410031*.
- [2] A. Banerjee, U. Debnath and S. Chakraborty, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **12** 1255 (2003).
- [3] S. G. Ghosh and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 047501 (2001); S. G. Ghosh and A. Beesham, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 124005 (2001).
- [4] U. Debnath and S. Chakraborty, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36** 1243 (2004).
- [5] F. C. Mena, B. C. Nolan and R. Tavakol, *gr-qc/0405041*.